

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,456	04/09/2004	Albert Zur	D9418A	1339
7590 02/23/2005			EXAMINER	
BRIGHT & LORIG, P.C.			GABOR, OTILIA	
Suite 3330 633 West Fifth Street,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90071			2878	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		H·H	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer:	10/821,456	ZUR, ALBERT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	Otilia Gabor	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 O	ctober 2004.		
·— · · —	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-29</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-25 and 30-52</u> is/are			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-29</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 09 April 2004 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)	·		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/09/04.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/821,456 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 26-29 in the reply filed on 10/19/2004 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 1-25, 30-52 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/19/2004.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 26-27, 29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 18, 20, 27, 28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,310,351. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both claim an ionizing radiation imaging module comprising a multilayer ionizing radiation sensitive structure having at least one

Art Unit: 2878

conductive layer and operative to convert an impinging radiation image to a charge distribution, a charge injection assembly operative to inject charge into the ionizing radiation structure by causing currents corresponding to the charge distribution to flow in the conductive layer and providing a signal representation of impinging ionizing radiation image, and a readout circuitry coupled to the conductive layer, where the readout circuitry is removably coupled to the conductive layer, and where the ionizing radiation is an X-ray radiation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zur (U. S. Patent 6,310,351)

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in

Art Unit: 2878

the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Zur discloses an ionizing radiation imaging module comprising: a multilayer ionizing radiation sensitive element (structure of claim 1) including at least one conductive layer (see claim 1 in Col.22) and operative to convert impinging ionizing radiation image to a charge distribution; a charge injection assembly 161 operative to inject charge into the ionizing structure (see claims 18, 20 in Col.24), the charge injection assembly being operative to cause currents corresponding to the charge distribution to flow in the conductive layer and providing a signal representation of the ipinging ionizing radiation (see claims 18, 20 in Col.24), and a readout circuitry 300 removably coupled to the conductive layer (see claims 1, 27 in Col.24).

Regarding claim 28 Zur discloses that the readout circuitry includes a plurality of multichannel charge readout ASICs and a plurality of analog-to-digital converters coupled to the ASICs (see Col.20, lines 13-67).

Regarding claim 29 Zur discloses that the ionizing radiation is X-ray radiation (see claim 28 in Col.24).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Otilia Gabor whose telephone number is 571-272-2435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Thursday-Friday between 9am-5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,456 Page 5

Art Unit: 2878

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Otilia Gabor Examiner Art Unit 2878

Olich bake